The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication in a law journal and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte OLGA BANDMAN, JENNIFER HILLMAN, NEIL C. CORLEY, KARL J. GUEGLER, PREETI LAL, SURYA K. GOLI, and PURVI SHAH

Appeal No. 2004-1735 Application 09/769,970 MAILED

MAR 2 4 2005

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

## ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

Before HARKCOM, Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge, and WILLIAM F. SMITH and ADAMS, Administrative Patent Judges.

## Per curiam.

و ۱۳۰۰ سانسیده

On July 3, 2003, appellants filed a Notice of Appeal. On February 25, 2005, appellants filed a communication requesting express abandonment of this application.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the appeal filed July 3, 2003, is dismissed.

Appeal No. 2004-1735 Application 09/769,970

The application is being returned to the examiner for further action as may be appropriate.

Gary V. Harkcom, Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge

Administrative Patent Judge

**BOARD OF PATENT** 

APPEAL

**INTERFERENCES** 

Donald E. Adams

Administrative Patent Judge

Foley & Lardner Suite 500 3000 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20007

dem